

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

17 JUN2005

Appl	icant's	or age	nt's file reference	FOR FURTHER AC	CTION See Notifi	cation of Transmittal of International		
	2066			FOR FURTHER AC	Prelimina	ry Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
Inter	nationa	l appli	cation No.	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
	TNO			17.12.2003		23.12.2002		
Inter	nationa	l Pate	nt Classification (IPC) or t	ooth national classification a	and IPC			
	B9/00							
l								
	licant	- 40	ET AI					
SIN	IVENI	A5	ET AL.					
1.	This	interr	national preliminary exa	mination report has bee	n prepared by this	International Preliminary Examining		
İ	Auth	ority a	and is transmitted to th	e applicant according to	ALLICIE 30.			
i								
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including th	nis cover sheet.			
						to the state of th		
		This	report is also accompa	anied by ANNEXES, i.e.	sheets of the description	cription, claims and/or drawings which have ning rectifications made before this Authority		
		(see	Rule 70.16 and Section	on 607 of the Administrat	tive Instructions ur	nder the PCT).		
	Thes	•	nexes consist of a total					
	illes	oc aili	iones consist of a total					
ļ								
3.	This	repor	rt contains indications	elating to the following it	ems:			
		⊠						
ĺ	i		Basis of the opinion					
	Priority			foninion with regard to r	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
1	111				, o v o y , v o			
<ul> <li>IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention</li> <li>V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and explanations supporting such statement</li> </ul>						lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
	VI		Certain documents o	ited				
	VII			e international application				
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international app	lication			
Dat	e of sub	missi	on of the demand		Date of completion	on of this report		
18.06.2004				03.02.2005				
					1			
Nar	ne and	mailin	g address of the internation	onal	Authorized Office	estimates,		
-	y	Eu	ropean Patent Office		Malaurier F			
			80298 Munich I. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52:	3656 epmu d	McLaughlin, D			
l	<u> </u>		x: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +4	49 89 2399-2762		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NO 03/00425

I. Basis	of ti	he r	eport

)

1.	With regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):										
	Des	cription, Pages									
	1-5		as published								
	Clai	Claims, Numbers									
	1-8		as published								
	Drav	wings, Sheets									
	1/2-2	2/2	as published								
2.	With lang	With regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.									
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:										
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).								
		the language of publi	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).								
		Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3									
3.	With inte	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:									
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.								
			e international application in computer readable form.								
			ntly to this Authority in written form.								
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.										
		The statement that t	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.								
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequencished.								
4.	The	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:									
		the description,	pages:								
		the claims,	Nos.:								
		the drawings,	sheets:								

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/NO 03/00425

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	ve
	been considered to do perform the dissipations as man (visite visite visite).	

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

4-7

Claims No:

1-3, 8

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

4-7

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-8

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Section V

- a) All the essential features of claims 1 and 3 to 8 are expressed in facultative terms, e.g. in claim 1 it is claimed that a parameter reflecting the COP "can be used" or in claim 3 that a regulation system "may vary the pressure". This means that such expressions can be ignored in the analysis of the claim. However, in order to render a meaningful examination possible, it will be assumed in the following that said facultative expressions have been replaced by definitive ones; e.g. "can be used" in claim 1 by "is used".
  - b) Claims 1 and 4 to 8 are directed to a refrigeration system but the essential features thereof have been expressed in terms of a method step; e.g. the characterising feature in claim 1 that an on-line estimation of the COP is used as a signal for optimum regulation is not a feature of said system but rather how it is operated. The above claims are therefore unclear making an examination difficult. In the following, it will be assumed that the method steps are carried out by the "regulation system" claimed in claim 3.
- 2) Document EP-A-1 202 004 (document D1) is regarded as being the closest prior art and discloses the following features thereof (see especially column 7, line 40 to column 9, line 18 and figures): a compression refrigeration system including at least a compressor (1), a heat rejector (2), an expansion means (3) and a heat absorber (4) connected in a closed circulation circuit that may operate with supercritical high-side pressure, whereby an on-line estimation of coefficient of performance (COP), or a parameter reflecting the COP, is used as a signal for optimum regulation and operation of the compression refrigeration system.

Hence, document D1 reveals all the features of claim 1 and claim 1 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

- 3) Document D1 also reveals all the features of claims 2, 3 and 8 so that these claims are also not new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 4) Dependent claims 4 to 7 contain minor changes which are well-known to the skilled man. These claims, therefore cannot be considered to be inventive when combined with any claim to which they refer (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 5) The essence of the invention appears to lie in the feature that, when operating

.)

#### International application No. PCT/NO 03/00425 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

conditions change, a perturbation of the high side pressure is performed and a correlation between the pressure and energy efficiency is established, whereby the optimum pressure is determined and used until the operating conditions change again (see description, page 3, paragraph 3). This feature is not known from the documents cited in the search report. Hence, these features should have been included in claim 1, whereby it should have been clarified that these steps are carried out by the regulation system.

The industrial applicability of the invention is obvious. 6)